# UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

### **NOTICE OF DOCUMENT DISCREPANCIES**

F1 44- 44	r	9	-	
7-4	ļ.	Í	Į.	3
;	i	ž.	1	é í
•		J. A. Lett	6.marr	E-mark.

08 AUG 22Th AMAR JOR 7

				CLERK.	Clerk's Office				
TO:	U S DISTRIC	T JUDGE / 🖵 U. S. M	AGISTRATE IUDGI	Coleman	DISTRICT OF C	LIFORNIA			
FRO		Deputy Clerk		IVED DATE:	8/19/08	C.S.			
CAS	E NO.: <u>08cv1112</u>	DOCU	MENT FILED BY:	Candis Morto	n	acutt.			
CASE TITLE: Morton v. People's Choice home loan									
DOCUMENT ENTITLED: Points and Authorities for TRO									
Upon the submission of the attached document(s), the following discrepancies are noted:									
✓ <i>L</i>	ocal Rule	Discrepancy							
	5.1	Missing time and date	on motion and/or supp	orting documentat	ion				
	5.3 Document illegible or submitted on thermal facsimile paper								
	5.4 Document not filed electronically. Notice of Noncompliance already issued.								
	7.1 or 47.1	Date noticed for hearing not in compliance with rules/Document(s) are not timely							
	7.1 or 47.1	Lacking memorandum of points and authorities in support as a separate document							
	7.1 or 47.1	Briefs or memoranda exceed length restrictions							
	7.1	Missing table of conter	nts						
	15.1	Amended pleading not complete in itself							
	30.1	Depositions not accepted absent a court order							
		Supplemental documents require court order							
	Default Judgment in sum certain includes calculated interest								
X	OTHER: Motion has previously been ruled upon								
				Date forwarded:	8/19/08				
IT IS	HEREBY ORDER	ORDER OF THE JU ED:	DGE / MAGISTRA	<u>re judge</u>					
	The document is to be filed nunc pro tunc to date received.								
The document is NOT to be filed, but instead REJECTED. and it is ORDERED that the Clerk serve a copy of this order on all parties.									
	Rejected document to be returned to pro se or inmate? Yes. Court Copy retained by chambers								
	Counsel is advised that any further failure to comply with the Local Rules may lead to penalties pursuant to Local Rule 83.1  CHAMBERS OF: Judge Sabraw								
	Dated: $\frac{8/22/0}{\text{cc: All Parties}}$	8	By: <u>nm</u>						

## REJECTED

Candis Charlene Morton, Plaintiff, Pro Se 2568 Fenton Place National City, CA 91950 Phone: (619) 267-8963

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

# UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

CANDIS CHARLENE MORTON, CASE NO. 08CV1112 DMA (LSP) ) Amended Plaintiff(s), POINTS & AUTHORITIES IN SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR ۷s. TEMPORARY RESTRAINING ORDER PEOPLE'S CHOICE HOME LOANS And Preliminary INJUNCTION Date: August 22, 2008 INC., Defendant(s). Judge: Dana M. Sabraw Date filed: August 19, 2008

#### INTRODUCTION

The underlying case in issue here concerns certain real property commonly known as 2568 Fenton Place, National City, California. That property was purchased by Plaintiff not to be an investment but to be Plaintiffs home. On or about December 23, 2005 Plaintiff refinanced the property with a loan through People's Choice Home Loan, Inc. At some point, the entities America's Servicing Company, a d/b/a for Wells Fargo Bank, and First American Loanstar became involved with the mortgage.